

the-Gospel.org

Many Christian leaders have defected, choosing to believe in evolution rather than taking the creation account in Genesis literally. Many think they are doing this for scientific reasons, if so, can they explain why any of these seven points are not absurd?

Seven Absurdities of Evolution

1. In the "Big Bang", nothing went Bang!

The *New York Times* 5/22/01: "Nevertheless, most cosmologists, including Dr. Guth and Dr. Linde, agree that the universe ultimately must come from somewhere, and that **nothing is the leading candidate.**"

AND

A scientist at www.big-bang-theory.com says, "Discoveries in astronomy and physics have shown beyond a reasonable doubt that our universe did in fact have a beginning. Prior to that moment there was nothing; during and after that moment there was something: our universe."

To Believe that the universe comes from nothing, is not being scientific. The real question is; is this a statement of faith or gullibility?

2. If it takes a star to make a star, **how did we get the first star ?**

According to the theory of evolution, the big bang created a universe full of hydrogen. Through gravitational attraction the hydrogen evolved into the first generation of stars. This theory fails to recognize that it takes a celestial body several times larger than the earth to create a strong enough gravitational attraction for hydrogen.

A 2007 college textbook describes how stars are born.

Theoretically, stars are born from swirling clouds of hydrogen gas in the deep space between other stars. [...] These clouds consist of random, swirling atoms of gases that have little gravitational attraction for one another because they have little mass. Complex motions of stars, however, can produce a shock wave that causes particles to move closer together and collide, making local compressions. Their mutual gravitational attraction then begins to pull them together into a cluster. (Bill W. Tillery Physical Science 7th edition)

Tillery does not explain how and what kind of complex motions stars can make, or how the first complex stars were born without this help. Without the preexistence of huge celestial bodies (stars), other stars cannot form from hydrogen anymore then the helium will stay bound together after you pop a balloon.

3. Where do the heavy elements come from?

Fusing light elements (like hydrogen or helium) will release energy. Cosmologists believe this is why stars shine. However, to fuse elements heavier than iron, you will need an external source of energy. Where does the external energy to create the heavier elements come from? It is only a statement of faith to believe that the heat generated from gravitational contraction is powerful enough to fuse the potential energy into plutonium or uranium.

4. Life in a test-tube?

No, the Miller-Urey experiment in 1952 took methane, ammonia, hydrogen and water, added a spark and created two amino acids. It did not create any DNA or the complex system necessary to read DNA. The experiment did not create proteins or the equipment to fold the proteins into useful structures necessary for a living cell. Even with intelligence, a controlled environment and chemicals man cannot create life. We can create artificial intelligence but not a living organism.

5. Mutations do not create an increase in information.

Nature has variations and mutations. But mutations mean a scrambling of preexisting information. There is no increase in information. Experiments on fruit flies did create a new four-winged fruit fly. The fact remains that the fruit fly already contained all the information in its DNA to create this extra set of wings. The harmful mutation (the fly could no longer fly) simply put an extra set of wings in the wrong place. The fruit fly genome actually lost the information necessary to fly.

6. The problem of irreducible complexity.

Remove one piece from a mousetrap and it will cease to function, that is because it is an irreducibly complex system. It must have a spring loaded hammer, a platform, and a catch to work as a mousetrap. Nature has many irreducibly complex systems. A simple example is the human cell. For the first replicating human cell to have evolved it must have contained DNA. Before the DNA could be useful to give "life's instructions" to the cell, it must be copied into "messenger RNA". It must also contain "transfer RNA" to bring the amino acids to match the DNA. In addition the cell must have an internal station to connect amino acids, a ribosome, and an internal energy generator, the mitochondria. The mitochondria must have an external system that can transport oxygen and carbon dioxide to and from the cell. The cell also needs cytoplasm, internal fluid and cytoskeleton to keep from collapsing. While his picture of the cell is grossly over simplified the fact remains that the cell could not have evolved piece by piece over a long period of time. Without any one of these systems in place and fully functioning the cell will die. For something as complex as a cell to evolve, it would take a miracle.

7. The most irreducibly complex system

There is no earthly machination that can create a soul. Scientists have created artificial intelligence, yet they cannot create a soul that has understanding, that feels joy and other emotions. It is in choosing evolution over creation, that you are putting at stake this irreducibly complex system.

Wrapping up

Even if you do disagree with one of these arguments, that will not solve your problem. All seven of these points (along with many others) need a rational explanation before evolution can become a scientific fact, rather than just a *Statement of Faith*.

Why are we not allowed to discuss these problems in school?

A documentary that showed in many movie theaters asks the same question.

<http://www.expelledthemovie.com/>

Written by [Jeff Barnes](#) .

For had ye believed Moses, ye would have believed me [Jesus]: for he wrote of me. But if ye believe not his writings, how shall ye believe my words? - John 5:46-47

If you wish to understand what creationists believe:

Here are links to *Creation Science* websites

Walt Brown

Walt Brown PhD. has written a book *In the Beginning*. This is the best single resource for the Christian who wishes to see scientific evidence that refutes evolution. At the same time Walt Brown proposes his *hydroplate theory*, a superior answer to the plate tectonic theory. You can read his book online for free at <http://www.creation-science.com/onlinebook/index.html>

Center for Science & culture

Peer-reviewed and peer-edited scientific publications supporting the theory of intelligent design. <http://www.discovery.org/csc/>

Ken Ham

President and founder of Answers in Genesis. His ministry offers many resources to learn about creation science. <http://www.answersingenesis.org/>

Kent Hovind

Dr. Kent Hovind is a creation scientist who has gone around the country with his creation science seminars. Recently Hovind was arrested for tax evasion and is in prison with a ten year sentence and his wife is also in prison with a one year sentence.

The hypocrisy of the whole thing can be seen in the IRS ignoring liberals or even allowing tax evaders to be appointed to the highest financial posts in the land. For instance from www.worldnetdaily.com

Just before Barack Obama named Timothy Geithner to be his treasury secretary, the president of the New York Federal Reserve Bank quickly and quietly paid \$26,000 in back taxes and interest due since 2001 and 2002.

Asked about the propriety of nominating a treasury secretary who was a major tax scofflaw, Obama characterized the eight-year tax evasion as "an innocent mistake."

However, if Timothy Geithner were a conservative Christian like Kent Hovind, he would have been taught a lesson long ago.

If you wish to support Kent Hovind and his ministry, his website is at <http://www.drdino.com/>

*[Jesus said:] Abraham saith unto him, They have Moses and the prophets; let them hear them. And he said, Nay, father Abraham: but if one went unto them from the dead, they will repent. And he said unto him, **If they hear not Moses and the prophets, neither will they be persuaded, though one rose from the dead.***
- Luke 16:29-31

Beth Haran Ministries

More studies are available at www.the-Gospel.org
I can be reached for questions and comments at jeff@the-gospel.org